

**SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD**

**AGENDA**

**TUESDAY 14 JUNE 2011**

**AT 5.00PM**

**IN THE BOARDROOM, BECKENHAM SERVICE CENTRE  
66 COLOMBO STREET, CHRISTCHURCH**

**Community Board:** Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Barry Corbett, Paul McMahon, Karolin Potter, Tim Scandrett, Mike Thorley and Sue Wells.

**Community Board Adviser**

Jenny Hughey  
Telephone: 941-5108  
Email: [jenny.hughey@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:jenny.hughey@ccc.govt.nz)

**PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**

**PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**

**PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

**INDEX      CLAUSE**

<b>PART B</b>	<b>1.</b>	<b>APOLOGIES</b>
<b>PART C</b>	<b>2.</b>	<b>CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 3 MAY 2011</b>
<b>PART B</b>	<b>3.</b>	<b>DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT</b>
<b>PART B</b>	<b>4.</b>	<b>PETITIONS</b>
<b>PART B</b>	<b>5.</b>	<b>NOTICES OF MOTION</b>
<b>PART B</b>	<b>6.</b>	<b>CORRESPONDENCE</b>
<b>PART B</b>	<b>7.</b>	<b>BRIEFINGS</b>
<b>PART C</b>	<b>8.</b>	<b>DYERS PASS ROAD/HEATON RHODES PLACE INTERSECTION SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS</b>
<b>PART C</b>	<b>9.</b>	<b>SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE 2010/11 YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUNDING APPLICATION – HAMISH SCHREURS</b>
<b>PART C</b>	<b>10.</b>	<b>APPLICATION TO THE SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – OPAWA LAWN TENNIS CLUB INC</b>
<b>PART C</b>	<b>11.</b>	<b>STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING – KEY LOCAL PROJECTS 2011 REPORT</b>
<b>PART C</b>	<b>12.</b>	<b>SPREYDON HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2011/12 – BOARD BIDS</b>

14. 6. 2011

- 2 -

<b>PART B</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>13.</b>	<b>COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE</b>
<b>PART B</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>14.</b>	<b>ELECTED MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE</b>
<b>PART B</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>15.</b>	<b>MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS</b>

**1. APOLOGIES**

**2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 3 MAY 2011**

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Tuesday 3 May 2011 are **attached**.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the minutes of the Board's meeting of 3 May 2011 be **confirmed**.

**3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

**4. PETITIONS**

**5. NOTICES OF MOTION**

The following Notice of Motion was submitted by Phil Clearwater.

- “(a) The Board requests that the Council amend the Strengthening Communities Programme operational procedures adopted in October 2007 in light of the Christchurch earthquakes, as these relate to the operation of Strengthening Communities local funds administration.
- (b) The Board requests that the Council consider changing the provisions which prevent a Community Board from reallocating monies returned or diverting it for use under the Discretionary Response Fund.
- (c) That Strengthening Communities Funds remaining, unallocated or returned from May 2011 be transferred to the Community Board's Discretionary Response Fund for allocation in the same or the next financial year. The Discretionary Response Fund year is from 1 July until 30 June. The Strengthening Communities Fund year is from 1 September until 30 August.
- (d) That any monies remaining unallocated in the Discretionary Response Fund at 30 June 2011 be transferred to the Discretionary Response Fund 2012 commencing 1 July 2012.

**Explanation:**

The Community Board wishes to spend the remaining monies on earthquake related projects within the ward.

The earthquake has meant that certain projects have not able to be undertaken and this has resulted in monies from the Strengthening Communities Fund remaining in the Fund. In the case of Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board the Raft Race and the Port Hills Summit Road Walk were cancelled. There is approximately \$5,000 remaining which could be transferred to the Discretionary Response Fund.

At present under clause 10 of the Strengthening Communities Programme operational procedures any monies remaining or returned under the Strengthening Communities Fund to the Community Board can only be used by conducting a further allocation round and it is clearly too late in the funding year to undertake such an allocation. Further remaining, unallocated or returned Fund monies are prevented from being diverted or transferred to the Discretionary Response Fund or Small Projects Fund under clause 11. It is suggested that all Community Boards and their communities will benefit from this amendment.”

**6. CORRESPONDENCE**

**7. BRIEFINGS**

Staff from CERA (Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority) will provide an update on upcoming community meetings.

**8. DYERS PASS ROAD/HEATON RHODES PLACE INTERSECTION SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment Group, DDI 941 8608
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Unit Manager Transport and Greenspace,
<b>Author:</b>	Christine Toner, Consultation Leader, DDI 941 8355

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board approval of the proposed Dyers Pass Road/Heaton Rhodes Place Safety Improvements, and the resolution of associated traffic and parking changes.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. The proposed plan relates to a section of Dyers Pass Road (minor arterial) adjacent to the intersection with Heaton Rhodes Place (local road). The project is within the Spreydon/Heathcote ward.
3. The intersection sits at the apex of a tight 35 kph advisory speed curve. The speed limit beyond the curve in each direction is 50 kph.
4. Dyers Pass Road measures approximately 11 metres wide at the intersection, and incorporates a non-standard turn lane for traffic turning right into Heaton Rhodes Place from Dyers Pass Road (north). No overtaking lines are installed throughout the curve. There is a footpath on the western side of the carriageway. There are no on-road cycle facilities.
5. There were seven crashes recorded by NZTA for the five year period between 2005 and 2009 within the study area, and 15 crashes during the previous 5 years (2001-05). Although there has been a reduced number of crashes latterly there is still concern about the long term trend, which suggests that crashes will continue to occur at the site and the risk of a high severity crash occurring will remain.
6. The project objectives are to improve safety for pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles through:
  - (a) increased signage including electronic active sign; and
  - (b) guard rails and bollards on the outside of the curve to protect pedestrians and provide a large visual indication of the curve.
7. The proposal incorporates increased signage, including dual/gated curved arrow warning signs with advisory speed signs, an electronic sign to provide an additional warning to drivers travelling at speed to slow down, and retaining the 35kph chevron board with additional individual chevron indicator signs so it is visible to drivers coming down the hill prior to the bend. It is also proposed to re-shape the carriageway on the outside/northbound lane. It is proposed to incorporate a safety barrier/guard rail on the west side of Dyers Pass Road to the south of Heaton Rhodes Place.
8. It is not proposed to provide similar guard railing outside number 44 Dyers Pass Road. It is considered that all the above measures should alert drivers to the curve and advise drivers to slow down and therefore reduce the potential for a northbound driver to lose control and end up in the hedge/fence at number 44 Dyers Pass Road. After consultation with the owners of this property the plan was modified to include anti-ram bollards, or similar, rather than the guard rail, to be provided outside number 44 to prevent drivers colliding into the fence and/or pedestrians. The location of the bollards will be confirmed on-site during construction.
9. The proposed plan includes extension of 'no parking' lines which requires resolution by the Community Board.

## 8 Cont'd

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

10. Benefit cost analysis has not been undertaken for the revised scheme. NZTA funding will be sought for the parts of this project that comply with the NZTA funding requirements.
11. Funding for the proposed works are provided for in the 2009-19 LTCCP, Black Spots Remedial Work, page 247 as follows:

Project	2010/2011	2011/ 2012	TOTAL
Dyers Pass Road Curve realignment	\$129,000	\$133,000	\$262,000

Based on current estimates there is sufficient budget allocated in the 2009-2019 LTCCP to implement the project.

12. Construction is programmed to be complete in the 2010 -11 financial year.
13. Funding for this project is provided within the Transport and Greenspace Unit's Capital Programme as outlined above.
14. There is no conflict with earthquake recovery work being carried out by Council.

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?**

15. Yes, as above.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

16. There are no land ownership issues associated with this project. The project is between kerbs.
17. A legal issues report was undertaken that highlighted the following:
  - (a) there are no widening designation issues;
  - (b) Garth Terrace is unformed legal road.
18. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2006 provides Council with the authority to install traffic and parking restrictions by resolution.
19. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations dated April 2008. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and Traffic Control devices.
20. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

21. Yes, as above.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

22. The project aligns with the Transport and Greenspace Unit's Asset Management Plan, and the Neighbourhood Improvement Programme of the Planned Capital Programme, page 247, 2009-2019 LTCCP.

8 Cont'd

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?**

23. Yes, as above.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

24. This project is consistent with key council strategies including the Parking Strategy, Road Safety Strategy, Pedestrian Strategy and Cycling Strategy.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

25. The residents at 44 Dyers Pass Road were consulted about the proposed plan, and their response was positive and fully supportive, although they indicated that the addition of a protective measure on the footpath outside number 44 would be appreciated. Subsequently the plan was modified to include anti-ram bollards at this address, the exact location to be determined during construction.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board:

(a) Approve the Dyers Pass Road/Heaton Rhodes Place intersection safety improvement as shown on the plan for Board approval in **Attachment 1** (TP 324101).

(b) Resolve the following parking restrictions:

**Revoke Existing Restrictions**

- (i) That all existing parking restrictions on the northeast side of Dyers Pass Road commencing at its intersection with Whareora Terrace and extending in a north westerly and then north easterly direction for a total distance of 152 metres be revoked.
- (ii) That all existing parking restrictions on the southwest side of Dyers Pass Road commencing at its intersection with Heaton Rhodes Place and extending in a south easterly direction for a distance of 12 metres be revoked.
- (iii) That all existing parking restrictions on the southwest side of Heaton Rhodes Place be revoked.
- (iv) That all existing parking restrictions on the northeast side of Heaton Rhodes Place be revoked.
- (v) That all existing parking restrictions on the northwest side of Dyers Pass Road commencing at its intersection with Heaton Rhodes Place and extending in a north easterly direction for a distance of 75 metres be revoked.

**New Parking Restrictions**

- (vi) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the northeast side of Dyers Pass Road commencing at its intersection with Whareora Terrace and extending in a north westerly and then north easterly direction for a total distance of 165 metres.
- (vii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the southwest side of Dyers Pass Road commencing at its intersection with Heaton Rhodes Place and extending in a south easterly direction for a distance of 20 metres.

**8 Cont'd**

- (viii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the southwest side of Heaton Rhodes Place commencing at its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 40 metres.
- (ix) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the northeast side of Heaton Rhodes Place commencing at its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 23 metres.
- (x) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the northwest side of Dyers Pass Road commencing at its intersection with Heaton Rhodes Place and extending in a north easterly direction for a distance of 86 metres.

**CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION**

For discussion.

**BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)**

- 26. This safety Improvement project focuses on a section of Dyers Pass Road (minor arterial) at the intersection with Heaton Rhodes Place (local road). The project is within the Spreydon/Heathcote Ward.
- 27. The intersection sits at the apex of a tight 35 kph advisory speed curve. The speed limit beyond the curve in each direction is 50 kph.
- 28. Dyers Pass Road measures approximately 11 metres wide at the intersection, and incorporates a non-standard turn lane for traffic turning right into Heaton Rhodes Place from Dyers Pass Road (north). No overtaking lines are installed throughout the curve. There is a footpath on the western side of the carriageway. There are no on-road cycle facilities.
- 29. The New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) Crash Analysis System shows there have been seven crashes recorded for the five year period between 2005 and 2009 within the study area. Although this represents a reduction in crashes compared with the previous five years (2001-05) during which time there were 15 crashes, there is still concern that the long term trend does suggest that crashes will continue to occur at the site and the risk of a high severity crash occurring will remain.
- 30. The preferred option is to increase the signage including an electronic active sign and a guard rail on the outside of the curve to protect pedestrians and provide a large visual indication of the curve.
- 31. A scheme plan safety audit has been carried out on drawing RD3065s1-004. One comment was made regarding the extension of the no stopping marking and this has been adjusted in the plan. A number of changes were made to the plan after the initial road safety audit. The revised plan was sent back to the auditor for comment, and as a result the key issues of safety for cyclists and for right turning traffic have been accommodated as best as possible within the available funding and existing road width.
- 32. The following underground services currently exist on Dyers Pass Road at the intersection with Heaton Rhodes Place: sewer, water, storm water, Telecom (Saturn), gas lines, Orion cables (electricity).
- 33. Alterations to Telecom services will be required due to the installation of the new drainage pipe and the new kerb will require alterations to Telecom services.

**8 Cont'd**

34. It is recommend that a lighting upgrade for the proposal is not necessary at this time.
35. No landscaping is proposed as part of the intersection improvements. It is recommended that trees on the private boundaries of numbers 50 to 56 are trimmed back to ensure signs are clearly visible. This was also recommended in the initial Landscape Assessment undertaken in 2008 (27/11/08) for properties 52 to 56. Staff are in the process of liaising with the property owners concerned about this work.
36. It is also proposed to trim back the vegetation on the inside of the curve to improve this space for cyclists travelling between the property boundary and the edge line.
37. Parking is prohibited within the vicinity of the Dyers Pass Road/Heaton Rhodes Place intersection. It is proposed to extend the No Stopping lines on the east side of Dyers Pass Road to the south of Heaton Rhodes Place round to Whareora Terrace.
38. No change is proposed to road width or footpath width. The footpath is to be maintained on the west side of the carriageway. The lane width on the inside of the curve (southbound lane) is to be reduced to 3.3 metres wide to provide more room for cyclists (1.2 metres) climbing the hill inside the edge line.

**THE OBJECTIVES**

39. The project objectives are to improve safety for pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles through:
  - (a) increased signage including electronic active sign; and
  - (b) guard rails on the outside of the curve to protect pedestrians and provide a large visual indication of the curve.

**THE OPTIONS**

40. There are two options:
  - (a) Option 1: Do nothing. This option has not been selected as the preferred option as it does not meet the objectives.
  - (b) Option 2: This preferred option includes the following:
    - (i) install dual/gated curved arrow symbols and supplementary 35kph advisory speed limits signs;
    - (ii) install an electronic message sign;
    - (iii) install a safety barrier on the west side of Dyers Pass Road to the south of Heaton Rhodes Place to provide a greater visual indication of the curve;
    - (iv) retain the horizontal curve chevron board sign for northbound traffic and install additional chevron curve indicator signs on the safety barrier/guard railing and a larger sign down Heaton Rhodes Place to provide a continuous line of signs around the curve. The exact placing of the signs will be determined onsite during construction;
    - (v) reshape the carriageway on the outside of the curve (northbound lane) across the intersection of Heaton Rhodes Place and outside number 44;
    - (vi) place new storm water pipe outside number 44 Dyers Pass Road and new kerb;



**8 Cont'd**

- (vii) trim back trees on the west side to improve visibility of signs, and trim back vegetation on the inside of the curve to improve the space for cyclists;
- (viii) install new road markings; and
- (ix) install anti-ram bollards outside number 44 Dyers Pass Road

**THE PREFERRED OPTION**

41. The preferred option is Option 2 above.

**9. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE 2010/11 YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUNDING APPLICATION – HAMISH SCHREURS**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager, Community Services DDI 941-8607
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Recreation and Sport Unit Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Sarah Benton, Community Recreation Adviser DDI 941 5107

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to present to the Board an application for funding assistance from the Spreydon/ Heathcote 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. Funding is being sought by Hamish Schreurs, 17 year old from Cashmere, to represent New Zealand in the Under 19 Road Cycling development squad at the Australian U19 Road Champs, Tour of Toowoomba and the Canadian Nations Cup 'Tour de l'Abitibi' in July 2011.
3. The following table details event expenses and funding requested for Hamish Schreurs:

<b>EXPENSES</b>	<b>Cost (NZ \$)</b>
Australian Accommodation, Flights, Entry fee	\$4,500
Canadian Accommodation, Flights, Entry fee	\$7,000
<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>\$11,500</b>
<b>Amount raised (as a team, per person)</b>	<b>\$2,000</b>
<b>Amount requested</b>	<b>\$500</b>

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

4. Hamish has never received funding from the Spreydon/Heathcote Youth Achievement scheme.
5. There is currently a balance of \$2,950 available in the 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund.

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?**

6. Yes see page 184, regarding Board funding.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

7. There are no legal issues to be considered.

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

8. Not applicable.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

9. Yes.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?**

10. Yes, Community Grants (pg 176), Strengthening Communities (pg 172), and Recreation and Sports Services (pg.108).

**9 Cont'd**

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

11. Application aligns with the Strengthening Communities Strategy, Youth Strategy and the Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy.
12. Application also aligns with the following Spreydon/ Heathcote Community Board Objectives: 'Increased participation of S/H residents in local and city-wide recreation events/ programmes.'

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

13. Not applicable.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board allocate Hamish Schreurs \$500 from the 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund towards costs associated with representing New Zealand in the Under 19 Road Cycling development squad at the Australian U19 Road Champs, Tour of Toowoomba and the Canadian Nations Cup 'Tour de l'Abitibi' in July 2011.

**CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION**

For discussion.

**BACKGROUND OF APPLICANT**

**Hamish Schreurs**

14. Hamish has been cycling for three years, and has recently left school, committing himself totally to the sport and working part-time to fund it. This year, he set a goal to make the squad that travels to Canada, and achieved it. Next year he wants to make the squad that will go to the Junior World Championships. He aims to have a career as a professional cyclist.
15. Hamish was not able to work at his part-time job for five weeks after the February earthquake and is seeking assistance from the Board.
16. He cycles for Benchmark Homes Cycling which is a semi-professional cycling team under the umbrella and major sponsor of Benchmark Homes and formed by the Youth Cycling Development Trust. Hamish cycles on both track and road and is described as dedicated, determined and well-rounded by his two (application) referees. Hamish's coach identifies him as a good team player and acknowledges his ability to support the number one rider while sacrificing his own chances. The coach has placed him as a category 1 rider for the up and coming programme because of his 'exceptional ability and his power profile'.
17. BikeNZ selected a large number of riders for the road and track development squads, and says that it is a reflection of the quality and depth of riders competing in the sport. The development squads will take part in a range of international events but, they will come together in the first instance for the major NZ camp in Taranaki over Queens Birthday weekend.
18. Hamish's team is fundraising by running a cycling race over nine weeks.

**10. APPLICATION TO SPREYDON HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – OPAWA LAWN TENNIS CLUB INC.**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Unit Manager, Community Support
<b>Author:</b>	Duncan Innes Community Grants Funding Team Leader, DDI 941-6622

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is for the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board to consider one application for funding from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund from Opawa Lawn Tennis Club Inc.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. In 2010/11, the total pool available for allocation for the Spreydon/Heathcote Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
3. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
4. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
  - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
  - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
  - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

Council also made a note that: *"Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."*
6. Based on these criteria, the application from Opawa Lawn Tennis Club Inc. is eligible for funding.
7. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrix. (**Attachment 1**)

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

8. There is currently \$28,221 remaining in the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund.

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?**

9. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

10. There are no legal considerations.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

11. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176.

**10 Cont'd**

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?**

12. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

13. Refer to the attached Decision Matrix.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

14. Not applicable.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board:

- (a) Approve a grant of \$5,000 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to Opawa Lawn Tennis Club Inc for earthquake repairs towards repairing three and rebuilding two tennis courts.

**CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION**

For discussion.

**11. STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING – KEY LOCAL PROJECTS 2011 REPORT**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8607
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Community Support Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Duncan Innes, Community Grants Funding Team Leader

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is for the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board to consider whether they wish to recommend any Key Local Projects (KLPs) to the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund for 2011/12.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. In a public excluded workshop, held on 14 June 2011, the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board considered the issue of Key Local Projects for 2011/2012.
3. As part of the Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme, each Board may nominate Key Local Projects (KLPs) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
4. The Metropolitan Funding Committee will make KLP decisions based on affordability and the following priorities:
  - Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals;
  - Funding outcomes and priorities as set out in Strengthening Communities Strategy;
  - Alignment to local Community Board objectives;
  - AND
  - Projects deliver benefits to the city outside of the local Board area;
  - Key community issues contemplated under Goal 2 of the Strengthening Communities Strategy.
5. In addition, staff recommendations for Key Local Projects are also based on whether the project meets the following criteria:
  - The organisation undertaking the project has a proven track record with the Council in providing a high quality level of service;
  - Significantly contributes towards the Council’s Funding Outcomes and Priorities;
  - Demonstrates leadership and innovation;
  - Demonstrates best-practice and collaboration.
6. Previous KLPs for this Community Board are:

<b>Name of Group</b>	<b>Year/s</b>	<b>Name of Project</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Cross Over Trust	2008/09 - 2010/2011	Rowley Youth Workers	\$47,000
Rowley Resource Centre	2008/09 - 2010/2011	Rowley House	\$30,000
Spreydon Youth Community Trust	2008/09 - 2010/2011	24/7 Cashmere and Hillmorton -	\$27,000

7. All previous funding for KLPs ended in the 2010/11 funding round. Staff have reviewed all applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund 2011/12 to identify if there are any projects that could be considered for recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee as KLPs for 2011/12.

## 11 Cont'd

8. Staff recommend that the Community Board consider the following projects as KLPs in 2011/12. **Attached** is a decision matrix that provides information on the projects. (**Attachment 1**)

Name of Group	Name of Project	Total Project Cost	Amount Requested	Recommendation
Te Whare Roopu O Oterepo - Waltham Cottage	Cottage Coordinator Wages	\$43,700	\$15,000	\$15,000
Rowley Resource Centre	Community Development within Rowley Community	\$120,450	\$59,200	\$59,200

**Timeline and Process**

9. KLPs that are approved by the Board will be put forward to the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Funding Committee for consideration at its meeting on 29 July 2011.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

10. In 2011/12, the draft annual plan includes \$238,918 for the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board Strengthening Communities Fund.

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?**

11. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS****Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

12. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS****Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?**

13. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES****Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

14. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch Community Boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

15. Not required.

**11 Cont'd**

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Spreydon Heathcote Community Board nominate:

- a) Waltham Community Cottage as a key local project and recommend a grant of \$15,000 from the Metropolitan Funding Committee towards the Waltham Cottage Coordination Project.
- b) Rowley Resource Centre Inc. as a key local project and recommend a grant of \$59,200 from the Metropolitan Funding Committee towards the Community Development Rowley Community and Beyond project.

**CHAIRPERSON RECOMMENDATION**

For discussion.

**BACKGROUND**

16. In October 2007, the Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Fund operational procedures, which included the process for nominating Key Local Organisations (KLOs), subsequently renamed Key Local Projects (KLPs).
17. Each Board may nominate (KLPs) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
18. The agreed process to determine if a "local" funding application should be processed as a KLP is detailed as bullet point 16 in the report that was adopted by the Council on 4 October, 2007:

The Metropolitan Funding Committee will make KLP decisions based on affordability and the following priorities:

- Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals;
  - Funding outcomes and priorities as set out in Strengthening Communities Strategy;
  - Alignment to local Community Board objectives;
- AND
- Projects deliver benefits to the city outside of the local Board area
  - Key community issues contemplated under Goal 2 of the Strengthening Communities Strategy.

19. The process for considering KLPs is as follows:
  - (i) Community Boards nominate and priorities their KLPs and make a recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee.
  - (ii) The Metropolitan Funding Committee makes decisions on Board recommended KLPs.
  - (iii) Successful KLPs are allocated funding from the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund.
  - (iv) Unsuccessful KLPs are returned to the Community Board for consideration under the local Strengthening Communities Fund.
20. Community Boards are advised that where candidates for KLP funding consideration are successful in receiving funding from the Metropolitan Funding Committee, then there can be no further funding call on the Board for that project.



## 11 Cont'd

21. This is also the case, where a successful candidate is funded to a lower level than has been recommended by the Board. This reflects the "funding constraints" criteria agreed by Council in Appendix F of the 4 October 2007 report which states that *"Groups receiving funding at a Metropolitan level may only receive local level funding if the project is specifically local and no portion of it has been funded at the Metropolitan level"*.
22. Previous KLPs for this Community Board are:

Name of Group	Year/s	Name of Project	Amount
Cross Over Trust	2008/09 - 2010/2011	Rowley Youth Workers	\$47,000
Rowley Resource Centre	2008/09 - 2010/2011	Rowley House	\$30,000
Spreydon Youth Community Trust	2008/09 - 2010/2011	24/7 Cashmere and Hillmorton	\$27,000

23. All previous funding for KLPs ended in the 2010/11 funding round. Staff have reviewed all applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund 2011/12 to identify if there are any projects that could be considered for recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee as KLPs for 2011/12.
24. Staff recommend that the Community Board nominate the following projects as KLPs in 2011/12. **Attached** is a Decision Matrix that provides information on the projects (**Attachment 1**).

Name of Group	Name of Project	Total Project Cost	Amount Requested	Recommendation
Te Whare Roopu O Oterepo - Waltham Cottage	Cottage Coordinator Wages	43,700	15,000	15,000
Rowley Resource Centre	Community Development within Rowley Community	120,450	59,200	59,200

25. **Attached** is a list of all applications to the Spreydon Heathcote Board Strengthening Communities Fund 2011/12 (**Attachment 2**).

## 12. SPREYDON HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2011/12 – BOARD BIDS

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager Community Services Group 941.8607
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Manager Community Support Unit
<b>Author:</b>	Duncan Innes, Community Grants Funding Team Leader

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board to agree to the projects that will be put forward on behalf of the Board to the Strengthening Communities Fund for 2011/12.
2. The Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board Strengthening Communities Fund decision meeting is scheduled for the 19 August 2011.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. Attached to this report is a table that outlines potential projects that the Board may wish to put forward for consideration for the 2011/12 Strengthening Communities Fund (**Attachment 1**). These were discussed by the Board in a workshop earlier in the year. These projects have been agreed as part of Unit work programmes.
4. As a result of the earthquake, it may be that the Boards priorities for projects have altered. This meeting is the opportunity to update these projects.
5. Also attached is a list of local Board projects that have received funding from the Board in the three previous funding rounds (**Attachment 2**).
6. Subsequent to the Board identifying which projects it would like to put forward as applications, staff will assess each project and include these on the decision matrix along with the other applications received for Strengthening Communities Fund.
7. Due to the shortened timeframe for the funding process as a result of the earthquake, there will not be a Board Seminar prior to the decision meeting in August 2011. Elected members will be provided with the decision matrix three weeks prior to the decision meeting in order to have time to consider the projects and staff recommendations. This will also allow time for elected members to have questions answered.

### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

#### Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

### LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

#### Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

### ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

#### Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

10. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

**12 Cont'd**

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

11. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

12. Not required.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board give consideration to the projects detailed in **Attachment 1** – Projects to Consider 2011/2012 and approve a list of projects to be submitted as applications to the 2011/12 Strengthening Communities Fund.

**CHAIRPERSON RECOMMENDATION**

For discussion.

**BACKGROUND**

**STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES STRATEGY**

13. The Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Strategy on 12 July 2007. The Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme comprises four funding schemes:
- (a) Strengthening Communities Fund
  - (b) Small Projects Fund
  - (c) Discretionary Response Fund
  - (d) Community Organisations Loan Scheme
14. The following funding outcomes have been used to evaluate and assess applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund:
- Support, develop and promote the capacity and sustainability of community recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups
  - Increase participation in and awareness of community, recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups, programmes and local events
  - Increase community engagement in local decision making
  - Enhance community and neighbourhood safety
  - Provide community based programmes which enhance basic life skills
  - Reduce or overcome barriers to participation
  - Foster collaborative responses to areas of identified need

**12 Cont'd**

15. The following funding priorities have been taken into consideration when assessing applications:
- Older Adults
  - Children and Youth
  - People with Disabilities
  - Ethnic and Culturally Diverse Groups
  - Disadvantaged and/or Socially Excluded
  - Capacity of Community Organisations
  - Civic Engagement

**TIMELINE AND PROCESS**

16. Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to make final decisions on the Strengthening Communities Funding for their respective wards. The Board's decisions will be actioned immediately following the decision meeting.
17. All funding approved is for the period of September to August each year, therefore grants will be paid out in early September 2011.

**13. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**

**14. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

The purpose of this exchange is to provide a short brief to other members on activities that have been attended or to provide information in general that is beneficial to all members.

**15. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**